different, and if the space group in either compound is indeed  $Cmc2_1$ , they may be very different; the best we can do is to report the averages, which we will call the M–CN distances. If we assume that the C–N distance is 1.16 Å (Britton, 1967), then we calculate from the *c* values for the average M–CN distances, 2.45, 2.40, and 2.55 Å for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCN, (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCN, and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>PbCN, respectively. The difference between the first two numbers is an indication of the sensitivity of this bond distance to the environment. The difference between the first and third is what we would expect from the univalent radii for Sn<sup>4+</sup> and Pb<sup>4+</sup>, 0.96 and 1.06 Å, respectively (Pauling, 1960).

In the absence of low temperature facilities we do not anticipate any further work on either of these compounds.

We thank the National Science Foundation for their support of this work, and Mr R. C. Gehrz for the preparation of  $(C_2H_5)_3$ SnCN.

#### References

- BRITTON, D. (1967). In *Perspectives in Structural Chemistry*. Edited by J. D. DUNITZ & J. A. IBERS. Vol. 1, p. 109.
- BUNN, C. W. (1961). *Chemical Crystallography*, 2nd ed., p. 321. Oxford Univ. Press.
- FREIDLINE, C. E. & TOBIAS, R. S. (1966). Inorg. Chem. 5, 354.
- KERK, G. J. M. VAN DER & LUIJTEN, J. G. A. (1956). J. Appl. Chem. 6, 49.
- PAULING, L. (1960). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed., p. 514. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- SCHLEMPER, E. O. & BRITTON, D. (1966a). Inorg. Chem. 5, 507.
- SCHLEMPER, E. O. & BRITTON, D. (1966b). Inorg Chem. 5, 511.
- SHIER, G. D. & DRAGO, R. S. (1966). J. Organometal. Chem. 6, 359.

Acta Cryst. (1971). B27, 857

## Polytypism and spiral growth in cadmium iodide. By M.R.TUBBS, School of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, England

#### (Received 31 October 1970)

A new measurement of the refractive index of  $CdI_2$  has been used to re-evaluate the step heights of growth spirals on the surfaces of  $CdI_2$  crystals reported by Trigunayat & Verma. There is now good correlation between step heights and crystal structure since the corrected step height is an integral multiple of the *c* dimension of the unit cell for 30 out of 36 spirals on 33 crystals with polytype structures having between two and twenty iodide layers in the unit cell.

A careful study of polytypism in cadmium iodide was reported by Trigunayat & Verma (1962) who used an interference technique to measure the step heights of growth spirals on the surfaces of CdI<sub>2</sub> single crystals whose structure type was then determined by X-ray diffraction. They concluded that in most crystals there was no correlation between step height and the *c* dimension of the unit cell determined by X-ray methods. The step heights were measured by the internal interference method (Forty, 1952) which gives the *optical* height of a step (*i.e.* height × refractive index). A value of  $1.849 \pm 0.001$  was used for the ordinary refractive index of CdI<sub>2</sub> at the wavelength of the mercury green line  $\lambda = 5461$  Å. (The ordinary refractive index is appropriate for microscope observations, using narrow pencil

illumination, of  $CdI_2$  platelets with *c* axes perpendicular to their habit plane.)

Recent studies of the refractive index and dispersion of CdI<sub>2</sub> by interference methods (Tubbs, 1969; Lee, Said, Davies & Lim, 1969) show that the ordinary refractive index at  $\lambda = 5461$  Å is  $2\cdot39 \pm 0.04$  and the birefringence 0.2. This value is also consistent with  $2\cdot5 \pm 0.1$  quoted by Fotland (1959) for observations in 'white light'. A more accurate value for the ordinary index may be obtained from measurements of step heights since all steps must be integral multiples of  $M = 6\cdot84$  Å, the thickness of the basic I-Cd-I layer.

A set of step height measurements is shown in Table 1 for eight crystals where the steps were less than 15 I-Cd-I

Table 1.	Corrected	step	heights	(using	refractive	index	of	2.41	) for	small	steps	on	CdI <sub>2</sub>	crystals	where	the	step	height	is
				know	n to an ac	curacy	of	±0∙2	26 ba	sic lay	ers or	bet	ter						

Crystal number	Step height* in multiples of M = 6.84 Å	Corrected step height (units of M)	Structure type
24	$19.33 \pm 0.26$	14.8	4H
35	$12.00 \pm 0.22$	9.2	unidentified
37	$13.09 \pm 0.26$	10.0	unidentified
42	$13.71 \pm 0.22$	10.5	resembles 4H
47	$17.01 \pm 0.05$	13.0	resembles 2H
56	$10.29 \pm 0.25$	7.9	16 <i>H</i>
62	$18.19 \pm 0.12$	14.0	2H + 14H
66	$15.66 \pm 0.23$	12.0	22 <i>H</i>

\* From Trigunayat & Verma (1962)

layers high and the error in step measurement was quoted as being 0.26 layer or less. The first column gives the crystal number following Trigunayat & Verma (1962) while the second gives their measurement of step height. The corrected step heights in column three have been calculated from those in column two using a value of 2.41 for the refractive index since this gives the smallest deviations of step heights from integral numbers of I-Cd-I layers. With the exception of crystal number 42 (for which the step height is never integral for an index in the range  $2.39 \pm 0.04$ ), all the corrected step heights are integers within the quoted errors. The structure type for each crystal determined by X-ray diffraction is given in column 4 of Table 1 and it is particularly satisfying to note that crystal 56 shows a step height of 7.9 basic layers and a c dimension of eight basic I-Cd-I layers (the notation 16H means that there are 16 iodide layers or 8 I-Cd-I layers in the unit cell). Crystal 62 showed coalescence and polytypes 2H and 14H were identified; the corrected step height is 14 basic layers in this case.

A further 25 crystals are listed in Table 2. In all cases the structure type was unambiguously determined by Trigunayat & Verma (1962) and the step height is either known to better than 0.4 basic layers or the c dimension is so large that an error of one or two layers introduces no ambiguity. The first four columns give crystal number etc. as in Table 1, while the fifth column notes the degree of correlation between step height and X-ray c dimension. In Table 3 step heights and structure type are listed for a further 19 crystals where the step height was accurately determined but the structure type could only be classified as 'resembling' 2H or 4H. The 4H polytype is expected to occur frequently since steps of increasing height may be constructed by adding

alternate  $(A\gamma B)$  and  $(C\alpha B)$  layers and the 4H polytype occurs whenever the step is 2,4,6, ... 2n basic layers high.

There are eight crystals of 2H type in Tables 2 and 3 and in all cases there is good correlation between step heights and X-ray c dimensions. This is not surprising since the step height need only be an integral number of basic I-Cd-I layers to give correlation for the 2H polytype consists of iodide ions in hexagonal close packing  $(A\gamma B)$ . There is, however, correlation within the experimental errors (using the errors of column two rather than the slightly smaller ones that could be placed on step heights in column three) which are as small as 0.05M in one case. For the sixteen 4H crystals,  $(A\gamma B)$  (C $\alpha B$ ), there is correlation in twelve cases, one is doubtful and there is no correlation within the quoted errors for the remaining three crystals. The 6H polytype (crystal 45) shows correlation but the 'resembles 8H' (crystal 65) does not. However there is good correlation between step height and an integral multiple of the c dimension for the seven other crystals (8 growth spirals) with polytype structures between 10H and 20H. This agreement is particularly convincing because the c dimensions of the unit cells are large in these cases and the step height is equal to the c dimension for crystals 23, 56 and 76. For crystals with polytypes between 22H and 52H there is correlation in one case (crystal 48), one doubtful case (crystal 54) and no correlation in the remaining nine cases. However, in three of these nine crystals the step height differs by only one or two layers from the value expected from the measured c dimension.

One may conclude from these results that there is good correlation between the correct step heights of spiral growth

Table 2. Corrected step heights for accurately measured growth steps on  $CdI_2$  crystals whose structure type was determined by X-ray methods

				correlation	-
	Step height*	Corrected	Structure type	between step	
Crystal	in multiples	step height	and c dimension	height and unit	
number	of $M = 6.84$ Å	$(\hat{M} \text{ units})$	of unit cell	cell dimension?	
7	$32 \cdot 32 + 0 \cdot 20$	24.8	2.H	Ves	
71	$36.85 \pm 0.23$	28.3	2.H	Yes	
9	27.75 + 0.15	21.3	4 <i>H</i>	No No	
24	19.33 + 0.26	14.8	4H(c=13.7  Å)	No	
43	$52.43 \pm 0.25$	40.2	4H	Yes	
51	$36 \pm 1$	28	4 <i>H</i>	Yes	
63	$22.82 \pm 0.39$	17.5	4H (c = 13.7  Å)	Perhaps	•
45	54 $\pm 1$	41.4	6Hc	Yes	
38	$27 \pm 0.85$	20.7	$10H_b(c=34.2 \text{ Å})$	Yes	
46	$36 \pm 3$	28	10 <i>H</i> a	Yes	
77	$38 \pm 1$	29.2	$12H_d(c=41.0 \text{ Å})$	Yes	
56	$10.29 \pm 0.25$	7.9	16H (c = 54.7  Å)	Yes	
23	$12 \pm 0.7$	9.2	18H (c = 61.5  Å)	Yes	
76	(i) 54 $\pm 2.2$	41.5	20H (c = 68.4  Å)	Yes	
	(ii) 13 $\pm 0.7$	9.9	20H (c = 68.4  Å)	Yes	
29	$25.69 \pm 0.23$	19.7	$22H_a(c=75\cdot 2 \text{ Å})$	No	
66	$15.66 \pm 0.23$	12.0	$22H_b(c=75\cdot 2 \text{ Å})$	No	
78	$36 \pm 2$	28	32H (c = 109.4  Å)	No	
16	$24.88 \pm 0.45$	19.1	$34H_a(c=116\cdot 3 \text{ Å})$	No	
54	$23.38 \pm 0.19$	17.9	$34H_b(c=116\cdot 2 \text{ Å})$	Almost	
48	$23.92 \pm 0.20$	18.35	$36H (c = 123 \cdot 1 \text{ Å})$	Yes	
50	$29.06 \pm 0.16$	22.3	$38H_a(c=129.9 \text{ Å})$	No	
55	$35.90 \pm 0.46$	27.5	$38H_b(c=129.9 \text{ Å})$	No	
58	$54.23 \pm 0.28$	41.6	$38H_c(c=129.9 \text{ Å})$	No	
79	$38.89 \pm 0.15$	29.8	$50H (c = 171 \cdot 0 \text{ A})$	No	
72	$42.24 \pm 0.12$	32.4	52H (c = 177.8  Å)	No	

\* From Trigunayat & Verma (1962)

## SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

Crystal number	Step height* in multiples of M = 6.84 Å	Corrected step height (M units)	Structure type	Is there a correlation between step height and unit cell dimension?
33	(i) $33.34 \pm 0.38$	25.6	Resembles 2H	Yes
	(ii) $22.19 \pm 0.49$	17.0	Resembles 2H	
39	$59.21 \pm 0.48$	45.4	Resembles 2H	Yes
47	$17.0 \pm 0.05$	13.05	Resembles 2H	Yes
49	$30.02 \pm 0.11$	23.0	Resembles 2H	Yes
60	$47.34 \pm 0.43$	36.3	Resembles 2H	Yes
61	$48.27 \pm 0.30$	37.0	Resembles 2H	Yes
6	$34.38 \pm 0.23$	26.4	Resembles 4H	Yes?
12	$44.36 \pm 0.34$	34.0	Resembles 4H	Yes
17	$17.20 \pm 0.49$	13.2	Resembles 4H	No
18	$52.33 \pm 0.40$	40.1	Resembles 4H	Yes
26	(ii) 25·86±0·13	19.8	Resembles 4H	Yes
32	$31.33 \pm 0.35$	24.0	Resembles 4H	Yes
34	$24.02 \pm 0.20$	18.4	Resembles 4H	Yes?
36	$13.51 \pm 0.42$	10.4	Resembles 4H	Yes
41	59·94 ± 0·16	46·0	Resembles 4H	Yes
44	$38.92 \pm 0.14$	29.9	Resembles 4H	Yes
68	(i) $18.00 \pm 0.37$	13.8	Resembles 4H	Yes
	(ii) 16·30 ± 0·31	12.5	Resembles 4H	Perhaps
65	18·94 <u>+</u> 0·32	14.5	Resembles 8H	No
62	18·19 <u>+</u> 0·12	14.0	2H + 14H	Yes
			A	

Table 3. Corrected step heights for growth steps on crystals with structures resembling known polytypes where the step height is known to better than  $\pm 0.5$  basic layers

\* From Trigunayat & Verma (1962)

hills and the c dimension of the unit cell for most  $CdI_2$  crystals with structure types 2H to 20H. These results are thus consistent with the dislocation theory of spiral growth (Frank, 1951).

The crystals where correlation is not observed may be those in which slip has occurred in the last stages of growth. The measured step height in such cases would not be representative of the structure of the bulk of the crystal and correlation would not be expected. The available results suggest that slip may occur more readily in crystals having unit cells with large c dimensions. References

FORTY, A. J. (1952). Phil. Mag. 43, 377.

FOTLAND, R. A. (1959). Thesis, Case Institute of Technology.

FRANK, F. C. (1951). Phil. Mag. 42, 1014.

LEE, P. A., SAID, G., DAVIES, R. & LIM, T. H. (1969). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 30, 2719.

TRIGUNAYAT, G. C. & VERMA, A. R. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 499.

TUBBS, M. R. (1969). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 30, 2323.

Acta Cryst. (1971). B27, 859

# The unit cell and space group of the antiferroelectric phase of copper formate tetrahydrate. By M. J. BIRD and T.R.LOMER. Department of Physics, The University, Birmingham, 15 England

### (Received 6 November 1970)

X-ray single-crystal photographs of copper formate tetrahydrate, taken at temperatures below the antiferroelectric transition temperature (-38 °C) showed that at these temperatures the unit cell was doubled, due to a doubling of the *c* axis, compared to the room temperature cell. The low temperature space group was  $P2_1$  instead of  $P2_1/a$  at room temperature.

It has been shown by Kiriyama (1954) that at room temperature copper formate tetrahydrate is monoclinic with space group  $P2_1/a$ , and that the structure contains layers of water molecules which alternate with layers made up from copper and formate ions, all layers being parallel to (001). Neutron diffraction studies by Okada, Kay, Cromer & Almodovar (1966) indicated that the orientation of the water molecules was disordered. The antiferroelectric phase transition at -38 °C was first observed by Kiriyama (1962) during a study of dielectric properties. X-ray diffraction studies of this low-temperature phase by Kiriyama (1962) and by Okada *et al.* (1966) failed to show any changes in the number or intensity of diffracted spectra, but Turberfield (1967) using neutrons detected additional reflexions which indicated a doubling of the *c* axis and the loss of the glide plane. More recently, Molineaux (1969) working in this laboratory